Executive Region 83-5244/1

1 November 1983

NOTE FOR: Executive Director

FROM:

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Chuck,

I agree with Evan's and before him Bob's inclinations to get the CT Program back to a more Agency-wide focus. As a matter of fact, I recall that during the 1982 planning process during the discussion on personnel, I asked that we reinstate a broader Agency focus for the CT Program. I believe we also talked in terms of needing to fill the DDO's needs first, but once they were back up to speed, I had hoped to see an Agency-wide program. I believe Gates had some ideas on how to structure it so that non-DDO candidates would have some but not all of the DDO-oriented training. Your nickel.

hn N. McMahon

STAT

Orig - Addressee - O/DDCI - ER File



ROUTING A	ND TRANSMITTAL SLIP	Date	Date 27 Oct 83		
TO: (Name, office syr building, Agency,	(Post)		Initials	Date	
ı. DDCI			31	OCT 1983	
2.		_			
3.					
4					
5.				200	
Action	in the second substitution of	Note	lote and Return		
Approval		Per Conversation			
As Requested 😘	For Correction	Prepare Reply			
Circulate Care	For Your Information	See Me			
Comment (See)		Signature			
Coordination ****	Justify Same	The Mark Straight Str			

REMARKS

Ev Hineman has requested an EXCOM to discuss the CT Program's "longer-term objectives" and whether it should be Agency-wide.

I pulled the JOT History and extracted in the attached some pertinent pieces. Note a certain deja vu re quotas, university contacts, internals, use of test results, etc.

CAR

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Nam	e, org. symb	ol, Agency/Pos	t)	Room No.—Bldg.
	Ε>	(DIR		Phone No.
5041-102	0 - 341-529	(120)	OPTIONAL FO Prescribed by G FPMR (41 CFR)	DRM 41 (Rev. 7-76) SA 101-11.206

Procusion Registry

NOTES FROM: JOT History 1950-66 [OTR-11, June 1973]

Pg.		
2	OSO/OPC had a Training Division for clandestine activity. No Agency program for recruitment and training for the intelligence profession.	
6	Baird was retired Army - reactivated for Korea in Air Force: <u>not</u> member of OSS club and not accepted.	÷
12	Baird's deputy, had much informal university contact.	25X1
15	CS (Clandestine Service=DDP) didn't accept JOTs early on not til early 1953.	
36	Elite corps concept was <u>not</u> a Baird concept: to the contrary. It stemmed from OSS old-boy suspicion of Baird.	
44	Original concept: "University Contacts" plan at <u>50</u> colleges [faculty or admin members] "snot" for Agency: individuals cleared and brought in once a year to HQ	25X1
47	OTR to run professional trainee program - OP to assist: D/OTR did <u>not</u> think it necessary to identify contacts; only 7 OP recruiters in field. Problem. Additional source of JOTs envisioned: Armed Forces separation centers.	
50	description of kind of officer wanted: brains, loyal, money not main objective in life, one who can take it mentally, stable, hard-working, realistic.	25X1
56-57	University Consultant target never reached - actual effectiveness questionable [retrospective evaluation done by in 1971: see pg 60].	25X1
65	Quotas not reached: early 1963 D/OP was asked for explanation. Shortfall continued thru 1966; reasons: shortage of field recruiters; processing dropout. Ratio of those put in process to those EOD, 8:1	25X1 •
70	Clearance delay biggest dropout factor.	
71	So - advertise (1961); increase alumni use; increase business contacts	
73	After 1950-66 recruiting period, problem still unsolved. Solution: internals [NOTE: 1951 Baird study envisioned: 1) a professional trainee program; 2) the career corps program (the latter for selection of outstanding young employees for training and career development).]	· •
77	Internals comprised, eventually, 1/4 of the class totals - and were most beneficial to the program.	
88	Test results: regretted growing tendency by psychologists to use them as "absolute determinations of suitability".	25X1
89	Soon, psychiatrists were doing actual selections of candidates instead of simply indicating qualifications or disqualifications.	
95	IG survey recommended increase in JOT Division staff for one each from DDS, DDP, DDI [1956].	•

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/10: CIA-RDP86B00885R000300420014

Pg.

99 "If there was any one factor in leadership . . . it was his adamant refusal to compromise the quality of the program."

25X1

- Monitoring of on-the-job performance was one of the [JOT Division] staff officer's most important duties.
- 103 "DTR was always sensitive to student criticism -- often without determining the validity of it."
- Thru mid-54, the six-week Basic Intelligence Course constituted the formal training program for JOTs . . . after initial aloofness, the CS received about 75% of the JOTs; Phase II of Ops Courses opened to them. By 1956 new courses: Intelligence Orientation (2 weeks); Intl.Communism (2 weeks); and Intelligence Techniques (4 weeks): first two mandatory for all Agency professionals.
- By 1958, Program was major source of young professionals decision to systematize recruiting and selection for EOD once a year in one group.
- 125 Concept of bringing <u>all</u> new professionals in via JOT program gained popularity -- but not in OTR, who thought it would defeat one of the major objectives of the program; viz, placement after demonstrated competence for and interest in the specific job . . . DDS and ExDir decision in 1964 to increase number of annual JOT classes from two to

25X1

- Recruiting fell far below established goals; individual classes much smaller than the plans stipulated. Concept of bringing in all professionals through the JOT program faded away.
- IG report (1956) addressed the need for a scheduled program for recruitment of JOTs and systematic EOD of pre-determined number for scheduled placement after training. No practicable quota system was developed until 1959.
- By Feb 1960 the JOT staff "tried to approach reality by establishing its own quotas for component assignments".
- "JOT staff control after training . . . [was] eroded by budget pressures and the DDP's need for productive bodies".
- 158 <u>Major Problems</u>: Control of the Program [OTR or OP?]. IG suggested merging OP and OTR under a single Director.
- Tom Karamessines, DDP/TRO, said "instead of expanding the program someone should raise the question whether or not OTR and O/Pers were recruiting too many JOTs . . . he didn't think all new professionals should come in through the JOTP, the divisions should be allowed to recruit directly."
- 171 Causes of attrition: disenchantment with training; discontent with initial job assignments; dissatisfaction with promotion policy; [completion of military commitments for Military JOTs]; offers of better paying jobs and among women JOTs marriage.

Pg.

194

"Perhaps the most significant experiential lesson that can be drawn from the history of the JOT program is that the success of the program probably derived from the fact that it was conducted not as a personnel program but as a program for people -- carefully selected people who became distinct individuals at the beginning and remained so throughout the training and placement periods."

206

charge to new JOTs: "You enter the CIA to serve your country, not for self-aggrandizement, public recognition or an exciting life in exotic parts of the world. Ours is difficult work, requiring a keen mind, selfless effort, personal sacrifice and utmost dedication . . ."

25X1